REMARKS

The Examiner has stated that claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-22, 44, 48, 56-58, 60-64, 66-73, 75-81, and 82-88 are pending. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 3-6, 9, 11-12, 14-16, 19-22, 26-28, 30-32, 44, 48, 55-58, 60-67, 69-88 are currently pending in the present application, and claims 1-2, 7-8, 10, 13, 17-18, 23-25, 29, 33-43, 45-47, 49-54, 59, and 68 were previously canceled. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner clarify the status of claims 1, 3, 10, 12, 26-28, 30-32, 55, 65, 68, and 74.

By virtue of this response, claims 19, 26-28, 30-32, 44, 48, 63, 72, 76, 80, 84, and 88 have been amended, no claims have been canceled, and no new claims have been added. Accordingly, claims 3-6, 9, 11-12, 14-16, 19-22, 26-28, 30-32, 44, 48, 55-58, 60-67, 69-88 are currently under consideration. Claims 26-28 and 30-32 have been amended to limit the viscosity of the composition to less than 900 centipoise. Claims 44, 48, 63, 72, 76, 80, 84, and 88 have been amended to limit the viscosity of the composition to less than 300 centipoise. Claims 19, 76, and 84 have been amended to limit the viscosity of the composition to less than 200 centipoise. Support for the amended claims may be found throughout the specification and claims as originally filed, for example, inter alia, at paragraph [0043] of the specification. No new matter is added.

With respect to all amendments, Applicants have not dedicated or abandoned any unclaimed subject matter and, moreover, have not acquiesced to any rejections and/or objections made by the Office. Applicants expressly reserve the right to pursue prosecution of any presently excluded claim embodiments in future continuations, continuation-in-part, and/or divisional applications.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 5-6, 9-11, 14, 16, 19, 20-22, 44, 48, 55-58, 60-63, 66-73, 75-81, and 84-88 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over PCT/US97/23659 (Brodeck et al.), and claims 4, 15, 55, 64, 65, 82, and 83 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim. Applicants respectfully traverse.

As an initial matter, Applicants note that claims 1, 10, and 68 were previously canceled. Additionally, Applicants note that claims 4, 15, 55, 64, 65, 82, and 83 depend from claims 26, 30, 27, 28, 28, 32, and 32, respectively, which have not been rejected in the instant Office Action. For purposes of completeness, Applicants present the discussion below in response to the Examiner's rejection as it might be applied to all claims.

The Examiner has asserted that Brodeck at page 3 line 6 discloses that "the viscosity of the gel may be less than 1000 centipoise." Applicants find no such teaching at page 3 line 6, and presume the Examiner refers to page 37 line 6, which teaches a "viscosity of from about 1000 to about 200,000 poise" [emphasis added]. In contrast, the claimed invention relates to compositions having a viscosity of less than 900 centipoise (i.e. less than 9 poise). Thus, the viscosity of the compositions taught by Brodeck at page 37 line 6 in not within the range set out by the claims.

The Examiner has asserted that "[t]he claimed method differs only in the gauge of the needle used to administer the gel. However, adjustment of the viscosity for other methods of administration is taught at page 37, lines 13-19." Applicants respectfully wish to bring the Examiner's attention to lines 17-19, wherein Brodeck teaches that adjustment of the viscosity can be accomplished through emulsifying agents. Applicants note that all pending claims are limited to compositions which are solutions or suspensions (see independent claims 26-28 and 30-32), which are distinct from emulsions. As noted in paragraph [0020] of the specification, a solution "means a liquid having multiple ingredients homogeneously distributed in a single phase", and "[b]oth solutions and suspensions are contrasted with emulsions, which have more than one liquid phase." There is no teaching at page 37, lines 13-19 to suggest that solutions or suspensions may be created which have a sufficiently low viscosity such that they may be administered through a 25, 28, or 30-gauge needle, as required by the instant claims. Additionally, there is no teaching at page 37, lines 13-19 to suggest that solutions or suspensions may be created which have a viscosity of less than 900 centivoise, as claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application No.: 10/080,502 13 Docket No.: 146392000500

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If it is determined that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to *Deposit Account No. 03-1952* referencing docket no.

146392000500. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: August 25, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

By: /Rebecca Shortle/ Rebecca Shortle Registration No.: 47,083

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 (650) 813-5654